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Abstract
Higher Education Institutions internationally have continued their trend for adopting increasingly sophisticated information and communication technologies (ICTs) in order to provide students with greater flexibility in their studies and more timely access to learning materials and communication tools beyond the classroom. Despite the apparent benefits these technologies bring, teaching staff are quick to note that online technologies lack the multiple student learning cues that are available through more traditional modes of education delivery (face to face). For example, observing classroom cues that assist teachers in identifying which students may require further instruction and mediation. Resources which evaluate the way students are utilising the online tools and interacting with each other have, to date, been largely neglected, thus there are limited resources for teachers to access ongoing and informal learning indicators within the online environment. As a result, the online education environment has suffered from a lack of readily available learning-progress data that can assist teaching staff in designing learning activities, and assessing and identifying individuals requiring early learning interventions.

This paper outlines the development of a tool designed to provide educators with real-time evaluative data to better support student learning. The Social Networks Adapting Pedagogical Practice (SNAPP) tool extracts student online network data and renders this information into a readily interpretable user-interface. The monitoring of student social networks has been demonstrated to assist educators in identifying instances of learner isolation (McDonald, Stuckey, Noakes, & Nyrop, 2005), creativity (Burt, 2004), and community formation (Dawson, 2008; De Laat, Lally, Lipponen, & Simons, 2007). The ability to view social graph structure and community evolution is crucial to successful facilitation and serves as an early indicator of the success of a learning activity design as well as information about student participation and potential performance. The lack of real-time analysis and visualisation tool integration within current Learning Management Systems has hindered the update of SNA and left its potential as a diagnostic tool unrealised. This paper argues for the need for real-time social graph visualisation, describes the design principles behind the development of SNAPP and highlights the key participant and community structural patterns that SNAPP allows forum facilitators to visualise.

Keywords
Social network analysis, analytics, network learning, learning management system, graph theory, visualisation, inferring social networks, computer supported collaborative learning, evaluation.

Background
The Higher Education sector worldwide has largely embraced the integration of information and communication technologies (ICTs) into general teaching and learning practices. The rapid adoption and integration has been facilitated through the ready availability of numerous open source (e.g. Moodle, Elgg; Sakai) and commercial (e.g. Blackboard, Desire2learn) learning management systems (LMS). While the level of integration varies across institutions, there is a growing dependence on these tools for providing the additional flexible learning options many contemporary students require. In essence, access to institutionally supported and managed online
tools and resources has never been easier for educators and students alike. Despite the easy access to and vast flexible affordances of LMS, Web 2.0, and other collaborative based ICTs, there remain limited diagnostic tools that are available for education practitioners to better evaluate their implemented learning activities. For example, LMS have largely ignored the development of any user-analytics that go beyond the capture and presentation of basic tool access statistics. It is not without good reason that the current set of diagnostic tools are amongst the least utilised in the LMS suite (Dawson & McWilliam, 2008). Moreover, the presentation of these statistics is commonly in a format that is both complex and removed from the pedagogical intent and context. Thus the transformation of this data from analysis to informed action is a complex and potentially labour intensive process for individual practitioners.

This lack of access to interpretable real-time user-analytics presents a significant challenge for course instructors, facilitators and learning activity designers who utilise collaborative tools with the aim of encouraging knowledge sharing and construction. An increased focus on developing collaborative and community centric learning endeavours reflects current educational theory, which emphasises the importance of diverse and sustained social interaction for enhancing and facilitating student learning (Gabelnick, MacGregor, Matthews, & Smith, 1990; Levine Lauflraben & Shapiro, 2004; Tinto, 1993; Vygotsky, 1978). This has been well demonstrated in the work of Alexander Astin (1993), Richard Light (2001) and John Seely Brown and Richard Adler (2008) for example, who note that the development and maintenance of social networks are one of the most important factors determining a students future academic success, academic growth and development and persistence in a course of study. In short, the development of a community of learners or a learning network has become a foundational educational practice for both online and offline education environments.

It is therefore, not surprising that the educational adoption and integration of ICTs should also reflect this pedagogical intent for enabling increased opportunities for student socialisation. Macfadyen and Dawson (2009) noted that the two most dominant LMS tools within a large Canadian university included the content page for transmission of information and the discussion forum for collaboration and community building. Arguably, many other collaborative focused ICTs are now available that would better support and enable student socialisation. However, given the easy access for instructors to integrate discussion forums into the curriculum, discussion activities have become the leading medium for facilitating learner to learner networks.

Given the growing adoption of social learning practices coupled with increasing usage of ICTs, there is an opportunity to better leverage the available ICT student interaction data to provide real-time analytics to assist educators in their everyday teaching and learning endeavours. The notion of developing “academic analytics” from student usage of available ICTs was first proposed by Wang & colleagues (Wang & Newlin, 2000, 2002; Wang, Newlin, & Tucker, 2001). The authors suggested that the analysis of student online activity may reveal an early indication of a student’s academic success. This concept has been further developed by researchers such as Morris, Finneg and Wu (2005) and more recently Macfadyen and Dawson (2009), who demonstrate a significant positive relationship between student discussion activity and academic performance. While these studies tap into the vast potential for ICT student interaction data to provide early indicators of student learning, they lack the development and integration of a visualisation tool that can assist staff in better understanding the relationship between implemented pedagogical practice and student online behaviour. Consequently, the development of visualisations juxtaposed with usage analytics will enable instructors’ opportunity to proactively evaluate both individual student learning and their implemented learning design.

In this context, the application of Social Network methodologies into academic analytics has much to offer education in terms of novel evaluative approaches. This is well noted by Reffay and Chanier (2002) who suggested that the adoption of Social Network Analysis (SNA) can act as an educational evaluative tool in order to monitor and assess elements of student group cohesion in a collaborative environment. Furthermore, the authors noted that the incorporation of SNA “would enable tutors to detect a problem or a slowdown of group interaction” (p.31) thereby affording early and timely response to individual student learning requirements. Reffay and Chanier’s suggestion that SNA is used to monitor and track student network evolution is an important element for considering the development of an evaluative tool for education practice. The generation of a tool that affords both the visualisation and analysis of the network fostered through student forum discussions (Bakharia & Dawson, 2009), can act as an accurate lead indicator of online student engagement. This paper reports on the development of such an evaluative tool that uses real-time social network visualisations to evaluate the effectiveness of implemented learning designs. In so doing, the tool also provides pedagogically meaningful insights into individual and group learning characteristics.
SNAPP – Discussion forum interactions

The Social Networks Adapting Pedagogical Practice (SNAPP) tool was designed to provide educators with real-time access to the evolving social graph within a course. The emerging data and visualisations serve as a monitoring/diagnostic tool providing instructors with the opportunity to reflect on the observed network behaviour and determine if this is aligned with the intended learning activity outcomes. As the discussion forum is a universally accessible feature of all LMS, SNAPP initially focused on the extraction and visualisation of all interactions that occur within this communication medium. As an entry point to embed social network metrics and visualisations within a LMS, the rendering of a social graph alongside the standard threaded message display was investigated. While the threaded discussion view provides an indication of the number posts and the depth of message threads, it is challenging for instructors to interpret these results for making informed decisions regarding satisfactory levels of peer interaction (Dawson & McWilliam, 2008). Moreover, the complexity of determining levels of student engagement and peer networking is further compounded as class size increases. For example, Figure 1 illustrates two separate threaded forums with similar depth and structure. Extracting this forum data and rendering into a graphical representation provides instructors with a quick visualisation that can be easily interpreted and evaluated against the learning activity outcomes.

Inferring Social Interaction within Discussion Forums

A defining feature of commercial and open source LMS is the capacity to capture and retain information related to user interactions. While access to the system database is optimal for any developmental work relating to the extraction of user interaction data, this is can be problematic as result of licensing concerns (commercial products), and heavy system load. However, an alternative solution exists when examining user interaction with threaded discussion forums. The forum succinctly contains all interaction data occurring between participants within the threaded view (See figure 1), thus the discussion forum typically displays all data necessary to establish network properties and relationships. For example, the individual poster and respondent/s, time stamp and message subject are all required yet readily available data used to construct the social network. Furthermore, all message replies are readily indicated by indentation from the parent message. Thus, all forum participants can be easily represented as nodes within the online network with the post-reply data as an indicator of an
established relationship between two or more participants. Therefore, the necessity for extracting user data from the systems database can be completely removed to navigate around the complexities and challenges associated with licensing and system load. The development of a script designed to “skin” the threaded forum for network relationships is an effective alternative. The translation of the network from threaded discussion to social graph is demonstrated in Figure 2. In this example a 4-person threaded discussion containing 7 messages is represented as a social graph including node and edge attributes such as the number of posts made by each individual and the number of messages exchanged between participants. This message exchange data also serves to provide an indication of the strength of any relationship between 2 nodes (participants).

**Figure 2: Converting forum post-reply data to social interactions**

### Design Guidelines

The process used by SNAPP to infer networks from forum structures can be seen to be analogous to previous models developed for Internet Relay Chat (IRC). For example, Mutton (2004), applied an IRC bot (PieSpy) to monitor chat channels and construct relationships occurring between participants. As each IRC user has a unique identifier it is possible to establish where connections are being formed. The degree of interaction between 2 nodes can then be used as an indicator of relationship strength. In much the same way, SNAPP was initially designed to infer post-reply interactions from discussion forums in Blackboard and WebCT. Additionally, the design specifications demanded that the extracted forum interaction data be available for export into more sophisticated social network analysis tools such as NetDraw (Borgatti, 2002) for further analysis and manipulation. As practitioner adoption increased and the educational value-add was identified, SNAPP rapidly evolved to incorporate inline social graph visualisations while still maintaining streamlined installation and usage. The key guidelines governing the design and development of SNAPP are discussed below:

1. **Simplified installation and usage.**
   It is difficult to design a server side extension that integrates with a variety of popular Learning Management Systems (LMS), as different extension frameworks and programming languages are employed. SNAPP as a result has been developed as a client-side bookmarklet requiring only a Web browser for installation and access. Users simply “drag” the bookmarklet link to their browser toolbar for installation. SNAPP can then be triggered, by selecting the toolbar link, when a forum from any of the supported LMS is displayed.

2. **Cross LMS, browser and platform support:** A key design aspect of SNAPP was to mainstream the use of SNA within the educational institution context. To achieve this aim it is essential to support commonly used commercial and open source LMS and the dominant versions and varieties of Web browsers and platforms. As such, SNAPP currently supports Blackboard, WebCT and Moodle and is compatible with Internet Explorer, Firefox and Safari on Windows and Macintosh platforms.

3. **Real-time forum data analysis:** SNAPP performs data extraction and analysis in real-time. When a forum is accessed, a facilitator is able to trigger SNAPP, view the emerging network structure and instigate facilitation changes if necessary.

4. **In-line visualisations and social network metrics:** SNAPP utilises a JUNG applet (O’Madadhain, et al., 2005) to render the social graphs. The interactive features (e.g. centrality measures and filtering) were
incorporated into the interface after consultation with end-users. The SNAPP interface is shown in Figure 3. The features adopted reflect the commonly used core functions previously performed in NetDraw (Borgatti, 2002). Various graph layout algorithms are provided and SNAPP allows the user to:

- scale nodes based upon number of posts;
- scale connections (edges) based on post-reply strength;
- enable/disable the display of participant names;
- zoom in and out; and
- filter participants based upon the number of interactions (degree).

![Figure 3: The SNAPP Interface.](image)

5. **Support popular Export Formats**: The social network data extracted from a forum is available as VNA and GraphML formats. While SNAPP provides in-line social graph visualisations, it is not within scope to replicate the complex functionality found within tools like NetDraw (Borgatti, 2002). It is still envisaged that users will utilise NetDraw for more complex and sophisticated social graph layout and analytics.

6. **Simplify SNA**: Simplify SNA concepts for users that are not SNA experts. As the primary target end-user is understandably not a SNA expert, the presentation of the available data must be in a format that facilitates interpretation within the educational context. In short, any visualisations must provoke reflection on practitioner action.

7. **Multi-forum support**: The majority of LMS provide instructors with the capacity to concurrently run multiple forums as part of a particular learning design. This is frequently used when allocating specific discussion activity around course content or modules. As a result student relationships formed in one individual forum may not arise in an alternate – despite being assigned to the same course. Thus, given the diversity of ways discussion activities can be implemented within a course curriculum it was necessary to ensure that the tool could extract network data from multiple discussion sites. Thus, SNAPP users have the functionality to generate social graphs from pre-selected forums within a course.

**Interpreting SNAPP – Example structural patterns and instructor action**

This section describes how SNAPP generated network visualisations (sociograms) can be used by facilitators to detect emerging structural patterns that occur within collaborative learning sequences. SNAPP specific features that are designed to assist with analysis and filtering of the generated social network data are also highlighted. While this section discusses the interpretation of specific sociograms it is important to note that the educational context the learning activity is positioned in is an important factor that will influence any conclusions drawn.

**Facilitator Centric Patterns**

The sociogram in Figure 4 illustrates a ‘facilitator’ centric pattern or “wagon-wheel pedagogy”. This network highlights that the dominant flow of exchanges has only occurred between the facilitator and individual learners. In this instance the central actor is commonly referred to as an ‘answer person’ (Welser, Gleave, Fisher, & Smith, 2007). In certain learning and teaching contexts it may be highly appropriate for instructors to occupy
this central position. For example, discussion forums dedicated to Frequently Asked Questions will typify situations where one to one relationships mediated by the instructor are appropriate. Alternatively, if the purpose of the forum is to foster knowledge sharing and creation, this type of generated sociogram would indicate there is a need to better scaffold the discussion in order to engage a greater diversity of exchanges among participants. Commonly, a facilitator centric pattern is observed in the early stages of a collaborative activity. In the initial stages of the online course a facilitator’s primary function can be seen to guide and scaffold the discussion. However, as the discussion evolves towards a more community oriented pattern, the role of the facilitator would change to become more of a co-collaborator. This is well exemplified in Figure 5. In this example, there is a large core of active collaborators. We would argue that this social graph represents the appropriate alignment between student online interactions and implementation of a social learning pedagogy. In short, Figure 5 is a lead indicator of a student lead community.

The purpose and the way in which the discussion is scaffolded plays an important part in the prevention of central actor network structures (as demonstrated in Figure 4). In their analysis of structured and non-structured discussion forums, Aviv et al (2003), argued that there is a link between non-structured asynchronous learning activities and the formation of the “answer person”. Learners within structured forums took on more triggering and bridging roles, developed cohesive groups and their knowledge construction achieved a higher level of critical thinking. Conversely, learners operating in a non-structured forum, formed fewer cliques, achieved limited knowledge construction and took on a more passive teacher follower role. Moreover, in small group discussion forums the emergence of a student as a central node has also been demonstrated to be a lead indicator of group malfunction (Marcos-Garcfa et al., 2009). By assisting instructors to better define and monitor implemented collaborative forum activities staff can potentially avoid the group learning impediments associated with development of a central actor. Early detection of this type of pattern is important for ensuring successful community-centric collaboration.

To assist staff in developing more structured and community-centric discussion activities, SNAPP provides detailed analysis of the information flow between participants. It is envisaged that the detailed analysis will assist teaching staff in the early identification of any student potentially dominating the network. Early
intervention can assist in maintaining a more democratic and structured discussion forum. Figure 6 illustrates the use of splitting connection strength by post-reply data. The in- and out-degree metrics also serve to give an indication of which role is predominantly the forum thread initiator.

![Figure 6: Using SNAPP to explore directional flow between participants](image)

**Learner Isolation**

Students who are unable to establish peer to peer relationships commonly report feelings of isolation and exclusion (McDonald et al., 2005). Learner isolation has also been noted to be a key factor contributing to student attrition in online courses (Rovai, 2003; Rovai & Wighting, 2005). Again rapid identification of the evolving social relationships can assist instructors in mediating interactions between and across peers in order to minimise node isolation and fragmentation. For example, nodes with limited or no connections (low in and out degrees) on a social graph represent isolated students. SNAPP visualisations include all forum participants as nodes, hence any student with no established relationship is represented as a participant who has made a post/s, but not received any replies. Dawson (2007) has also demonstrated that in these instances students – disconnected or isolated from the learning network tend to report high levels of dissatisfaction in course and teaching evaluations. SNAPP includes the capacity to filter a social graph based upon the number of connections a participant has made (see Figure 6). This feature facilitates identification of all students with minimal established relationships. This feature is particularly useful as class size increases and forum postings escalate beyond a manageable level.

![Figure 7: Using the SNAPP degree filter to isolate students with limited connectivity.](image)
Conclusion and Future Directions

SNAPP integrates with popular open source and commercial Learning Management Systems to provide social network analysis and social graph visualisations of online discussion forum interactions. The social graph is an aggregated visualisation of emerging student to student and student to facilitator relationships. Providing facilitators with a real-time alternative visual representation of a threaded discussion forum essentially serves as a social interaction diagnostic instrument. This paper has shown that common network structural patterns and peripheral participation are readily identifiable within the context of facilitation.

While the threaded discussion is a popular collaborative tool in education, it is only one of a vast set of ICT tools in the creation of learning activity sequences. Other tools such as blogs, micro-blogs, wikis, and social bookmarking that exist within the institutional LMS or personal learning environment, play an important role in knowledge construction and sharing. Thus, future versions of SNAPP will incorporate the analysis of other social tools and provide the ability to analyse feeds from external sources.

To date, SNAPP has been made available to academic staff via a website at http://research.uow.edu.au/learningnetworks/index.html. Due to the simple installation requirements and the ability for social network diagrams to be displayed within a forum, a natural progression of this research would be to enable students to view their immediate ego network and display the directional relationships that are being established with other students. The idea of using metrics as a form of social feedback has been explored by Martino, et al (2007) and shown to produce encouraging results. There is evidence that using social metrics as a cue to augment communication has a positive effect on the rate of participation, sense of belonging and overall academic performance.

It is very apparent that the trend towards great adoption of ICTs will continue in HE globally. As a result there will be a growing emphasis on the use of collaborative ICTs to promote pedagogical practices oriented towards social learning. This provides new opportunities for teacher, designers and students alike to engage in social data in order to monitor and evaluate the observed social behaviour with the implemented learning environment. In this context, tools such as SNAPP help better position educators as informed pro-active facilitators of learning in contrast to reactive instructors.
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